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#### Abstract

The regime of rime－icing of plastic and metal cylinders with diameter of 50 cm at Chenry vrah（ 2286 m ）has been experimentally investigated．The meteorological conditions， which determine the process of rime－icing－air temperature， wind speed and wind direction，horizontal visibility in the fog－ have been studied and the main parameters of the ice depositions as the shape，the mean thickness and the ice mass，have been obtained．An attempt for modeling of the mean thickness of the ice depositions has been made．


## I．Introduction

In－cloud icing is a phenomenon that can be observed each year in the mountainous regions of Bulgaria and the typical type of the depositions is rime．Due to the geographical location of the country as regards to the ways of the Mediterranean cyclones，the icing here is very intensive and with long duration．
This paper describes the main results form a former special icing measurements on plastic and metal cylinder with diameter 50 cm in the region of peak Cherny vrah（ 2286 m ）in Vitosha Mountain．

## II．DESCRIPTIONS OF THE MEASURMENTS

The measurements were carried out in the period from February 1972 until March 1977 on plastic and metal（made of aluminum）cylinder with diameter 50 cm and high 75 cm in the mentioned region．The number of icing measurements and the general number of icing events during the period of the experiment are given at Table 1．The general number of the registered icing events during this period was 194 and $57 \%$ from them were measured．

TABLE I
NUMBER OF ICING EVENTS DURING THE MONTHS（1）AND NUMBER OF THE MEASURED FROM THEM（2）．

|  |  | 关 | 坒 | $\bar{x}$ | $\sum_{i}^{\text {E }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \mathbf{Z} \end{aligned}$ | 苞 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 42 | 28 | 26 | 24 | 5 | 9 | 25 | 35 |
| 2 | 22 | 17 | 13 | 16 | 4 | 2 | 17 | 20 |

The measurements were taken perpendicular of the axis of
the cylinders at the end of the icing process and information about the density of the ice depositions was gathered separately with other measuring device．At the beginning the observations started at three points on the cylinders－points 1 ， 2 and 3 on Fig．1．In order to get better descriptions of the shape of the ice depositions some months latter the measurements continued at five points $-1,2,3$ and 3 a and 3 b on the same figure．

Fig． 1 Scheme of the measurements


## III．Main results

## A．Meteorological conditions

The typical meteorological conditions during in－cloud icing could give a rough estimation of the expected ice loads．These conditions are presented here．The relative frequency of the duration of the process is given in Table II－from 9 up to more than 96 hours．Most often the duration is between 12 and 48 hours and the maximal one was registered on 02．12．1974－182 hours．The mean duration is about 36 hours．

The air temperature influences the size of the cloud droplets and the density of the depositions．The temperature in cases of rime icing varies in a wide interval from $-0,5$ till－ $20,0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ but most often it is between $-2,0$ and $-10,0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with mean value of $-6,5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ．

The wind speed is the main factor which determines the intensity of process．Most often the averaged wind speed is between 9 and $18 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ ．In Table III is presented the distribution of the wind speed and in Fig． 2 the wind rose in cases of icing．

TABLE II
RELATIVE FREQUENCY (\%) OF THE RIME-ICING DURATION (IN

| HOURS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\text { à }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathbf{I}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \underset{\sim}{7} \\ \underset{\sim}{7} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \underset{\sim}{\dot{\sim}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\frac{N}{\underset{i}{8}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{i} \end{aligned}$ | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{1} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\underset{\underset{A}{Z}}{\underset{Z}{Z}}$ | $\overline{0}$ | $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { N } \\ \text { N } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{N}{2}$ | $\underset{\sim}{7}$ | $\overline{0}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{i}$ | $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{+}$ | $\bigcirc$ |

TABLE III
RELATIVE FREQUENCY (\%) OF THE WIND SPEED (M/S)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \lambda \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{\circ}}}{\stackrel{1}{\dot{m}}}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{i}{i}}{6}$ | $\frac{\underset{i}{\mathrm{i}}}{\underset{a}{a}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\ominus}{\mathrm{i}} \\ \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{I}} \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\dot{\infty}}{\frac{\infty}{1}}$ |  |  | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{ \pm}}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{ \pm}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{O}} \\ \stackrel{\text { N }}{+} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\square}{z}$ | $\bigcirc$ | Э | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\leftrightharpoons}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\infty}$ | $\vec{\infty}$ | 간 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\bigcirc$ |



Fig. 2 Wind rose in cases of in-cloud icing at Cherny vrah
Because in the meteorological stations there are not any regular measurements of the liquid water content (LWC) of the cloud, we use in our calculations for estimation of the ice mass one experimental correlation (not presented here) between the visibility in the fog and the LWC [6]. In Table IV is presented the experimental distribution of the mean horizontal visibility (MHV).

TABLE IV
RELATIVE FREQUENCY (\%) OF THE MEAN HORIZONTAL VISIBILITY IN CASES OF RIME-ICING

| MHV, <br> $\mathbf{m}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 6}-$ <br> $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}-$ <br> $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{8 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{N}$ | 11 | 24 | 39 | 17 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 |

All these results are confirmed by a former our investigation [1], [6].

## B. Parameters of the deposited ice

It turns out that the shape of the depositions is quite complex and the rimed part from the cylinders surface varies in a wide interval. The effective cross section is not big (about $0,375 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) and almost always the thickness of the deposition is lowest in the middle (p.3) - the shape resembles saddleback. The estimations show that the vertical profile of the thickness is in the middle point up to 7 times for the plastic and 11 times for the metal cylinder lower than this in the edge points. In Table V are given the averaged vertical and horizontal profiles of the shape. The following formulas have been used

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{V}}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{R}(1)+\Delta \mathrm{R}(2)}{2 \Delta \overline{\mathrm{R}}(3)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the vertical profile and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{H}=\frac{\Delta R(3 a)+\Delta R(3 b)}{2 \Delta \bar{R}(3)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the horizontal profile. Here $\Delta \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{i})$ is the thickness of the deposition measured in point i .

On Fig. 3 is presented the change of the vertical profile $Z_{v}$ with the wind speed. As it can be seen this ratio increases rapidly until $7 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, then it remains almost the same until $16 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ and by higher wind speeds it drops quickly below unity for wind speed above $26 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. This relationship has been used to restore the ice thickness in point 3 when no measurements had been made at this point.


Fig. 3 Change of the ratio $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{v}}$ with the wind sped

TABLE V
AVERAGED THICKNESS (CM) OF THE RIME-ICE AT THE POINTS OF MEASUREMENTS IN DIFFERENT MONTHS

| cylinder | PVC |  |  |  |  |  | Al |  |  |  |  |  | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | vertical |  |  | horizontal |  |  | vertical |  |  | horizontal |  |  | Number of measurements |
| Points of measurements | (1) | (3) | (2) | (3a) | (3) | (3b) | (1) | (3) | (2) | (3a) | (3) | (3b) |  |
| December, 1973 | 16 | 4 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 21 | 4.5 | 20 | 10 | 4.5 | 10 | 8 |
| November, 1974 | 28 | 10 | 28 | 15 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 7 | 32 | 18 | 7 | 18 | 3 |
| January, 1976 | 15 | 4 | 13 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 2.5 | 13 | 5 | 2.5 | 5 | 6 |

The rimed part from the surface cylinder depends on the change of the wind direction during the process. Most often it is $1 / 3$ from the circumference of the cylinder, which is about 50 cm (Table VI). This is also an indication that the wind direction in $60 \%$ of the cases remains stable. Former investigations [6] have revealed that the processes in this region usually starts from SW and ends form NW. In such cases the rimed part from the surface of the cylinders is $2 / 3$. In 12 cases the cylinders were fully rimed. Such a typical events is the case from 9.12.1976. In the first 21 hours the wind was blowing from SW and for the next 24 hours from NW. As results the cylinders were fully rimed with a basic ice thickness of 3 cm and the main ice cover above this layer was $1 / 3$ from the cylinder's surface with thickness of about 20 cm . Unfortunately not all cases were measured so accurately. The event on 17.12 .1973 was similar with $1 / 3$ rimed part and 23 cm thickness of the main deposition but in the archive there were not any information for the thickness of the underlying basic layer.

TABLE VI
RELATIVE FREQUENCY (\%) OF THE RIMED PART FROM SURFACE S' OF THE CYLINDERS

|  | $\stackrel{e}{0}$ | $\frac{9}{2}$ | $\stackrel{10}{8}$ | $\cdots$ | N | $\cdots$ | $\pm$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\ominus}{\square}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U | 9.8 | 2.9 | 0.1 | $\begin{gathered} 10 . \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | 5.9 | $\begin{gathered} 56 . \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 8.8 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0 |
| ব | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 7.0 | 4.7 | $59 .$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 . \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | 5.8 | 0 | 1.2 | 1.2 |

are the shape, the dimensions or volume and the mass of the deposition. In the further calculations the assumption for an equal deposited rime thickness in the rimed part from the cylinder has been adopted. This leads to the parameter averaged ice thickness $-\Delta \bar{R}$ calculated as weighted sum of all measuring points. In such a way the estimation of the real volume of the deposition is reduced to the calculation of the volume of the equivalent segment from the cylinder with equal deposition.

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\pi\left[(R+\Delta \bar{R})^{2}-R^{2}\right] H l \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where R is the radius of the cylinder, H is the length of the cylinder and 1 is the rimed part from the circumference of the cylinder.

It should be mentioned that on 9.12 .197498 cm were measured in point 2 on the metal cylinder.

In Table VII and VIII are given the distributions of the averaged thickness and the mass of depositions on the cylinders. It can be seen that the mass of the rime ice deposited on the cylinders is most often up to 5 kg . The maximal deposition on the metal cylinder is 200 kg and this on the plastic cylinder is 72 kg .

In Table IX are presented the parameters of some extreme depositions. This includes the averaged ice thickness $\Delta \bar{R}$, the ice masses and the meteorological conditions under which the depositions were formed - air temperature, wind speed, horizontal visibility and duration of the process and the recalculated averaged collision efficiency $-\alpha_{1}$.

TABLE VII
RELATIVE FREQUENCY (\%) OF THE AVERAGED THICKNESS OF THE EQUIVALENT DEPOSITION ON THE CYLINDERS

| E 0 0 0 0 0 | 0.1-2.5 | 2.6-5.0 | 5.1-7.5 | 7.6-10.0 | 10.1-15.0 | $\begin{gathered} 15.1- \\ 20.0 \end{gathered}$ | 20.1-25.0 | 25.1-35.0 | 35.1-45.0 | 45.1-55.0 | 55.1-65.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PVC <br> cylinder | 25.5 | 24.5 | 14.9 | 10.6 | 9.6 | 3.2 | 7.4 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 |
| AI cylinder | 24.3 | 30.5 | 18.3 | 6.1 | 3.7 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 4.9 | 2.4 | 0 | 1.2 |

TABLE VIII
Relative frequency (\%) of the mass M of the deposition

| interval <br> kg | $\mathbf{0 . 1 - 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6 - 5 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 1 - 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 6 - 1 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 1}$ <br> $\mathbf{1 5 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 1 - 2 0 .}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 1}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 5 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 1}$ <br> $\mathbf{3 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 1}$ <br> $\mathbf{4 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 1 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{5 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 1 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{6 0 . 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PVC cylinder | 19.4 | 17.9 | 11.9 | 9.0 | 13.4 | 6.0 | 4.5 | 6.0 | 9.0 | 1.5 | 0 |
| Al. cylinder | 25.0 | 25.0 | 12.5 | 5.4 | 7.1 | 3.6 | 0 | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| platic pole | 81.1 | 14.9 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.6 | 7.1 | 5.4 | 3.6 |

TABLE IX
EXTREME IN-CLOUD ICING CASES - SOME PARAMETERS AND METEOROLOGICAL CONDITIONS.

| Date | $\Delta \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{m}}$ |  | S' |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{t}, \\ { }^{\circ} \mathbf{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{V}, \\ \mathbf{m} / \mathbf{s} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MHR, } \\ \mathrm{m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L}, \\ \text { (hours, min.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\text {PVC }}$, kg | $\underset{\text { kg }}{\mathbf{M a l}^{\prime},}$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\text {PVC }}$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\text {Al. }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PVC | Al. | PVC | Al. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22.01.1974 | 25.4 | 35.8 | 1/3 | $1 / 2$ | -9.9 | 16.3 | 33 | 111,45 | 24.0 | 29.0 | 0.014 | 0.016 |
| 08.02.0974 | 20.9 | 32.0 | 1/3 | $1 / 3$ | -5.8 | 17.7 | 38 | 109,15 | 25.5 | 45.3 | 0.015 | 0.027 |
| 04.11.1974 | 8.8 | 19.6 | 1/3 | $1 / 2$ | $-7.4$ | 17.4 | 38 | 89,40 | 15.4 | 40.7 | 0.012 | 0.030 |
| 27.11.1974 | 19.1 | 30.6 | 1/3 | 1/2 | -3.4 | 13.1 | 34 | 78,00 | 28.0 | 52.3 | 0.029 | 0.053 |
| 09.12.1974 | 12.2 | 62.8 | 2/3 | $3 / 3$ | -7.9 | 10.7 | 40 | 182,05 | 34.0 | 211.3 | 0.021 | 0.130 |
| 04.12.1976 | 40.7 | 30.6 | 1/3 | $1 / 3$ | -4.3 | 20.8 | 33 | 67,15 | 72.4 | 48.4 | 0.054 | 0.036 |
| 09.12 .1976 | 21.4 | 19.4 | 1/3 | $1 / 3$ | -4.8 | 16.4 | 43 | 44,40 | 34.8 | 31.4 | 0.058 | 0.053 |

## C. Model calculations

The basic icing equation [2] has been used in this paper for assessment of the ice loads. This equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d M}{d t}=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} w V A \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}$ is the collision efficiency, $\alpha_{2}-$ sticking efficiency and $\alpha_{3}$ - accretion efficiency, w is the LWC, V is the wind speed and A is the cross section of the obstacle. Under typical rime icing conditions at Cherny vrach can be assumed that $\alpha$ and $\alpha_{3}$ are unity. On the basis of the data for the final ice depositions the collision efficiency $\alpha_{1}$ has been recalculated using the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}=\frac{\pi\left[(R+\overline{\Delta R})^{2}-R^{2}\right] \rho l}{2 R w V L} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be mentioned that these calculations have been made with the averaged (for the process) values of the variables in the formula and that is way they do not represent the real dynamic of this coefficient, which is time dependent and very sensitive to any changes in the meteorological conditions. This could explain the low values derived for $\alpha_{1}$. However, because the available data for the parameter of the depositions had been gathered only at the end of the process this is the only way the make some assessments.

The relative frequency of the averaged collision efficiency is given in Table X and some estimation for some extreme events (as above mentioned) in Table IX.

TABLE X
RELATIVE FREQUENCY (\%) OF THE EMPIRICALLY OBTAINED AVERAGED COLLISION EFFICIENCY A FOR THE BOTH CYLINDERS

| $\alpha_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \stackrel{\theta}{\theta} \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PVC <br> cylinder | 26.3 | 34.2 | 18.5 | 7.9 | 6.6 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 0 |
| AI. cylinder | 38.3 | 23.3 | 16.7 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 3.3 | 1.7 |

The averaged wind speed during this experiment was $13,6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ and the mean air temperature $-6,5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. These conditions are very similar to those in the work of Langmuir and Blodgett [3] at Mt. Washington (1900 m). That is way we have used the same value for the dynamic viscosity of the air -

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=1,658 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{gr} / \mathrm{cm} \mathrm{sec} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this value we received that the critical value of the droplet radius (below which no depositions occurs) for the cylinder diameter of 50 cm is $13 \mu$. Our investigations on the distribution of the droplets radius in cases of icing at Cherny vrah show that only $4-5 \%$ from the cloud droplets would collide with the obstacle. This is another confirmation of the low values of the averaged collision efficiency.

Different approaches for the calculation of the collision efficiency $\alpha_{1}$ have been used. The first one is based on the formula for suggested by Langmuir and Blodgett [3]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{1}=\frac{K}{K+\frac{\pi}{2}}  \tag{7}\\
& \alpha_{1}=\left(\frac{K}{K+c}\right)^{2} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

and K is

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{2 r^{2} V \rho_{w}}{9 \mu R} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here r is the droplet radius, V is the wind speed, $\rho_{\mathrm{w}}$ is the density of the water droplets, $\mu$ dynamic viscosity of the air and R is the radius of the cylinder.

The second one is based on using different constants in formula (8). In Table XI is presented a comparison between the measured and the calculated ice thickness with formula (8) and value of 0,1 for the constant c which has shown the best fit.

Table XI
MEASURED ( $\Delta \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{M}}$ ) AND CALCULATED ( $\triangle \mathrm{RC}$ )
THICKNESS OF THE DEPOSITION ON THE CYLINDERS

| Date | $\left.\Delta R_{\mathbf{m}}, \mathbf{( c m}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\Delta R \mathbf{c},(\mathrm{~cm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 8 . 1 0 . 1 9 7 2}$ | 4.3 | 0.017 | 4.6 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 . 1 0 . 1 9 7 2}$ | 2.0 | 0.006 | 1.6 |
| $\mathbf{1 3 . 1 1 . 1 9 7 2}$ | 3.0 | 0.020 | 2.2 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 . 0 1 . 1 9 7 3}$ | 7.1 | 0.013 | 5.0 |
| $\mathbf{0 8 . 0 2 . 1 9 7 3}$ | 0.4 | 0.020 | 0.3 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 . 0 2 . 1 9 7 3}$ | 0.4 | 0.016 | 0.5 |
| $\mathbf{2 2 . 0 3 . 1 9 7 3}$ | 0.4 | 0.006 | 0.5 |

And the last one was the approach suggested by Finstad, K., E. Lozowski and E. Gates [4] which actually could not be used because at averaged meteorological conditions K is below its critical value. However we have used this approach for another experiment with plastic pole with diameter 3 cm and the results are presented in Table XII.

TABLE XII

MEASURED AND CALCULATED RADIUS OF THE DEPOSITED RIME ICE ON PLASTIC POLE WITH DIFFERENR FORMULAS FOR $\alpha_{1}$

| Data | R, cm | $\alpha_{0.5}$ | $\alpha_{\pi / 2}$ | $\alpha_{\text {Finstad }}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\alpha 0.5}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\alpha \pi / 2}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\alpha \text { Finstad }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09.03.1972 | 1.8 | 0.141 | 0.068 | 0.062 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| 08.02.1973 | 2.0 | 0.126 | 0.056 | 0.049 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 17.02.1973 | 6.7 | 0.232 | 0.153 | 0.137 | 5.6 | 4.2 | 3.9 |
| 05.04.1973 | 2.3 | 0.160 | 0.085 | 0.077 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 2.0 |
| 17.11.1973 | 4.2 | 0.201 | 0.123 | 0.114 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| 04.12.1973 | 8.7 | 0.155 | 0.081 | 0.070 | 8.6 | 5.2 | 4.7 |
| 22.01.1974 | 8.9 | 0.084 | 0.022 | 0.012 | 9.4 | 3.5 | 2.7 |
| 11.03.1974 | 2.4 | 0.099 | 0.033 | 0.024 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 1.8 |
| 04.11.1974 | 11.6 | 0.144 | 0.071 | 0.061 | 10.2 | 5.8 | 5.2 |
| 22.12.1974 | 6.4 | 0.086 | 0.023 | 0.014 | 6.8 | 2.9 | 2.4 |
| 27.01.1975 | 2.4 | 0.093 | 0.029 | 0.020 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| 29.03.1975 | 4.7 | 0.256 | 0.177 | 0.159 | 4.5 | 3.6 | 3.4 |
| 12.04.1975 | 4.0 | 0.154 | 0.080 | 0.068 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| 19.04.1975 | 2.8 | 0.114 | 0.046 | 0.038 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| 28.01.1977 | 4.7 | 0.211 | 0.132 | 0.120 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 3.1 |

The investigation of the dependence of the icing on the wind speed for both the cylinders yield the results

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}=3.610^{-3} \mathrm{~V} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula is close to that one received by Tammelin, B. and Kr. Säntti but more detailed investigation is needed because the correlation coefficient is very poor - about 0,30.

## D. Conclusions

This study presents an attempt for assessment of the expected ice loads on large cylindrical bodies on the base of the theoretical knowledge about the icing process. Unfortunately not all from the needed parameters (such as LWC, the median volume diameter or the Macklin, s parameter) are available in the routine meteorological observations either were in this particular data set of experimental measurements. The original available information consisted also only of averaged meteorological values. Despite these limitations the results are relative good. In about $30 \%$ of the cases the calculated ice parameters are comparable with the measured data

It should be mentioned again that the values of the collision efficiency $\alpha_{1}$ have been determined so low because of the averaged procedure - e.g. these values characterize the process in whole. At the beginning of the process this coefficient is much higher but it decreases rapidly with the increase of the ice diameter. Comparing the estimated values for $\alpha_{1}$ with the appropriate table for its theoretical values as function of K and $\Phi$ from Langmuir and Blodgett [3] (in the experiment the K is between 0.144 and 0.256 and $\Phi$ - between 10 and 1000) a good agreement could be found. In this table the values for the total deposition efficiency are 0,038 and 0,022 and in our
calculations the mean value for $\alpha_{1}$ for the large cylinders is 0,0281 and 0,0387 for the plastic pole with diameter 3 cm .

As finally conclusion it could be pointed out that more model verifications are needed. It is planed to make new calculations using meteorological data for each 3 hours. Also data from one automatic ice sensor, mounted on another mountainous peak, will be used.
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